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Clinical Pearl
Identifying an Impaired Physician
by Stephen Ross, MD

The misuse of drugs and alcohol by physicians is compounded by their critical role as caregivers. Historically, addicted physicians either went unnoticed or were treated punitively. In 1973, the American Medical Association recommended, in a landmark report entitled "The Sick Physician," that state medical societies establish programs to identify and treat impaired physicians.[1] Since that time, every state has established a program or committee for that purpose.

It is estimated that approximately 6 percent of physicians have drug use disorders and that 14 percent have an alcohol use disorder—figures that mirror addiction in the general population [2]. But considering the degree of responsibility entrusted in doctors, this significant number of impaired physicians is cause for concern. Patterns of use vary but, in general, alcohol is most commonly misused, followed by opioids and cocaine. Anesthesiologists and emergency room doctors are 3 times more likely to abuse substances than the general population of physicians [3]. Both fields entail high-risk situations and performance under pressure. Hence, both tend to attract physicians who are more likely to engage in high-risk behaviors in their personal lives. Some specialists give in to temptation from easy access to addictive pharmaceuticals.

Signs and Symptoms
Substance use disorders (SUDs) commonly affect several domains in the impaired physician’s life, especially his or her ability to function at work and at home. Detection of the addicted physician tends to be delayed because job performance is often the last dimension to suffer. The following are potential signs of an increased problem with a substance use disorder (SUD) [4].

Work-related symptoms:

- Late to appointments; increased absences; unknown whereabouts
- Unusual rounding times, either very early or very late
- Increase in patient complaints
- Increased secrecy
- Decrease in quality of care; careless medical decisions
- Incorrect charting or writing of prescriptions
- Decrease in productivity or efficiency
- Increased conflicts with colleagues
- Increased irritability and aggression
- Smell of alcohol; overt intoxication; needle marks
• Erratic job history
  Problems at home:

• Withdrawal from family, friends, and community
• Legal trouble (ie, driving while under the influence)
• Increase in accidents
• Increase in medical problems and number of doctor’s visits
• Increased aggression, agitation, and overt conflict
• Financial difficulties
• Deterioration of personal hygiene
• Emotional disturbances such as depression, anxiety, and mood instability

**Intervention and the Role of Physician Health Programs**

If a colleague is concerned that a physician has an SUD that is impairing his or her functioning, it is that colleague’s ethical duty to act immediately to intervene. The best approach is usually to contact a Physicians Health Program (PHP) [5], rather than the state medical board, and to report the suspected addicted physician. Contacting a PHP can be done anonymously and is usually better than trying to confront the individual directly since most addicted physicians have high levels of denial and are usually not receptive to interventions from colleagues. However difficult it might be to report a colleague, impaired physicians cannot be allowed to continue to put the lives of their patients at risk through negligence, misconduct, or avoidable harm. After initial contact is made, the PHP arranges for a comprehensive assessment with the suspected impaired physician to establish a definitive diagnosis of an SUD or any other significant psychiatric or medical illnesses. If necessary, the PHP can help arrange for an intervention by facilitating the selection of a team including family members, peers, friends, supervisors, or clergy to confront the physician. The goal of an intervention is to break through the addicted physician’s denial and arrange for treatment.

All states now have PHPs, which are usually sponsored by state medical societies. They were developed to help identify impaired physicians and then to be intimately involved in evaluation, treatment, and monitoring. They also serve to protect the public from impaired physicians as well as to help the impaired physician achieve sobriety. If an impaired physician voluntarily seeks treatment and monitoring, the PHP can then advocate for the physician before the state medical board. If, however, physicians are initially reported to the state medical board before any involvement with a PHP, they are then required to have a formal disciplinary relationship with the board and are in greater danger of license suspension and revocation.

**Treatment**

Abstinence is always the final goal if the physician hopes to return to practicing medicine. No other option is suitable in light of the physician’s level of responsibility for the lives of his or her patients. Once evaluated, physicians are given the level of care that matches their need—either an inpatient residential setting or an outpatient program. Given the severity of a majority of SUD cases reported, most physicians require
the inpatient residential setting. Treatment of an impaired physician might consist of any or all of the following options:

Detoxification/medical stabilization: This is for patients in active withdrawal or who have concurrent medical issues.
Inpatient residential setting: These programs typically specialize in treating impaired physicians. Maximum confidentiality and privacy are the standards.
Rehabilitation: This occurs in an outpatient setting. Ongoing treatment includes group psychotherapy, individual psychotherapy, 12-step programs such as Alcoholics Anonymous (AA) or Narcotics Anonymous (NA), relapse prevention, psychotropic stabilization, and alternative therapies such as yoga, meditation, relaxation training, and exercise.

Follow-Up
Most PHPs monitor addicted physicians for 5 years, which includes the monitoring of bodily fluids (ie, toxicology screens), ongoing treatment, and their performance when they return to practicing medicine. Many programs have demonstrated recovery rates of up to 90 percent, which is likely due to close monitoring and also to highly motivated physicians who have a tremendous amount to lose professionally and personally if they relapse.
Although many physicians are grateful for assistance with their SUD, they may feel intense guilt and shame. Others might resist treatment, despite the need, and will feel enraged that their right to practice medicine has been suspended or revoked.

In summary, alcohol and drug use among physicians is a significant problem that can lead to impairments in the ability of physicians to function both at work and at home. Early detection and aggressive treatment are key aspects to dealing with this serious problem. PHPs, available in every state, play a vital role in the advocacy and treatment of impaired physicians.
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