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Aim Statement

Decrease the patient “wait time” (time of appointment until when the patient is seen by the attending physician) in the fifth floor Breast Oncology Clinic at CTRC, by 25% over a period of 4 months.
Project Milestones

- Team Created: March of 2009
- AIM statement created: April of 2009
- Bi-Weekly Team Meetings: March - present
- Background Data, Brainstorm Sessions, Workflow and Fishbone Analyses: March - present
- Interventions Implemented: July 27 and August 3, 2009
- Data Analysis: Aug 3 – Aug 18, 2009
- CS&E Presentation: August 28, 2009
- Graduation Date: 
Problem Statement: Increased patient wait times in the 5th Floor Breast Oncology Clinic.
Background

- Patient wait times for appointments are known to be a significant patient dissatisfier.
- The patients in the breast oncology clinic are some of our sickest patients and should not need to wait for >1 hour for their scheduled appointment.
How Will We Know That a Change is an Improvement?

• Types of measures: Wait times (defined as time of scheduled appointment to time seen by attending) will be measured pre- and post-intervention.

• How you will measure: Records of appointment time and logs of time seen by physician will be captured and evaluated.

• Specific targets for change: 25% decrease in wait times, as defined above.
Proposed Changes

• Eliminate unnecessary pre-clinic visit labs
  – Reduce demand on triage staff
  – Reduce demand on lab
• Provide Translator
  – Reduce pulling of staff for translator services
  – May be able to secondarily serve as a chaperone
• Have patients gowned prior to attending entry
  – Have blankets if patients get cold
  – Reduce re-entry of attending after patient roomed
• Make first appointments of the day - return patients
  – Allows fellows time to interview and complete history and physical examination on new patients prior to attending seeing patient
  – Allows new patients time to check-in and complete paperwork
• Consider staggering appointments
  – Reduce the issue of all the fellows needing the attending at the same time
Selected Process Analysis Tools

• Process Flowchart
• Fishbone Diagram

Both of these tools work well to identify root causes, and the flowchart allowed us to see how one change in the process might affect steps upstream and downstream from the change.
Selected Decision Making Tools

• Histogram
  – Used to size the initial problem and set appropriate target for aim statement

• SPC Charts
  – Xchart – used to measure results of interventions across time
Background Data

Wait times in the medical oncology clinics are a major driver of patient, physicians, and staff satisfaction. Poor performance in this area results in less than optimal utilization of our resources and poor repeat referrals from community physicians. This problem manifested itself in the following ways:

• Patient dissatisfaction
• Physician dissatisfaction
• Staffing Issues
• Overtime Expense
• Turnover
• Downstream delays and duplicate effort
Plan

• Created cause and effect diagram
• Reconciled our impressions with team members
• Re-evaluated and reconstructed cause and effect diagram
• Incrementally implemented actions with interval data analysis
  – Elimination of unnecessary pre-clinic lab draws
  – Trial of translator/chaperone
  – Scheduling-future action
    • New Patients vs. Returns
    • Long term FU patients
  – Gowning of patient-future action
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Date Implemented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lab</td>
<td>July 27, 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translator</td>
<td>August 3, 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gowning</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduling</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Measure

Time from Scheduled Visit Until an Attending is Seen

X-Chart

Pre-Intervention
July 6-15

Lab Intervention
July 27 - 29

Translator Intervention
August 3 - 5
Measure

• Median wait time decreased more than 33% against a goal of 25% over the measurement period.
  – Pre Intervention – 67.2 minutes
  – Intervention 1 (lab) – 46.8 minutes
  – Intervention 2 (translator) – 44.7 minutes

• Variance around the median also decreased, indicating actual improvement in the median and overall performance.
  – Pre Intervention Sigma – 40.4 minutes
  – Post Intervention 1 Sigma – 31.9 minutes
  – Post Intervention 2 Sigma – 26.2 minutes
Act

Using this as an example for other areas, we will train and require a similar process to be employed for other projects within the CTRC to improve patient care throughout the institution.
Return on Investment

The following improvements were realized:

• Increased patient satisfaction
• Increased the efficiency of the clinic
• Increased physician satisfaction
• Improved patient safety
• Decreased cost of medical care
Conclusion/What’s Next

- Process works
- Resistance to change ever present
- Devils in the details
- Co-lateral benefits/consequences
- One of many continued process improvements
- Real fans of CS&E
Thank you!