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Smoking and Lung Cancer

**United States**
- Smoking causes 85% of all lung cancer
- Lung cancer is the number one cancer killer in the US
  - Second most common cancer in men and women
- 224,000 new cases annually
- Approximately 160,000 deaths annually
- Early detection increases survival to 49%

**Texas**
- Ranks 14th in the U.S. for cigarette smoking in adults (19.2%)
- Leading cause of cancer death in Texas (26% of all cancer deaths)
- 11,407 deaths expected in 2017 (up from 10,000 deaths in 2016)

**Bexar County**
- Lung and bronchus cancer caused 21.5% of all cancer deaths (2007)
- Highest age-adjusted rate of death (33.4 per 100,000) amongst all cancers from 2010 to 2014.

American Cancer Society, 2015; Siegal, Miller, & Jemal, 2015
Cancer in Texas 2016. Texas Department of State Health Services. September 2016
Cancer in Texas 2017. Texas Department of State Health Services. September 2017;
Texas Cancer Info; 2013 Bexar County Community Health Assessment.
Cancer data have been provided by the Texas Cancer Registry, Cancer Epidemiology and Surveillance Branch, Texas Department of State Health Services, 1100 West 49th Street, Austin, TX 78756. https://www.dshs.texas.gov/tcr
Evidence for Screening with LDCT

• National Lung Screening Trial (2010)
  • 20% reduction in lung cancer mortality in adults at high-risk for lung cancer who received 3 consecutive annual lung cancer screening examinations with low-dose computed tomography (LDCT)*
  • Compared with an equivalent group of adults randomized to receive 3 consecutive annual chest x-rays*

*LDCT = ~1.5 millisieverts (mSv) of radiation compared to ~7 mSv for a typical chest CT. (chest x-ray: 0.1 mSv, coast-to-coast airplane flight: 0.01-0.03 mSv)
Lung Cancer Screening Program

- Multiple components:
  - Multi-disciplinary approach and governance
  - Integrated smoking cessation programming
  - Specific policies and procedures
  - Lung nodule data collection, tracking, reporting
  - Education

An important part to any Lung Cancer Screening program will be how and when the patient’s smoking history will be gathered.
Final Recommendation Statement

Lung Cancer: Screening

Recommendations made by the USPSTF are independent of the U.S. government. They should not be construed as an official position of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Summary of Recommendation and Evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adults Aged 55-80, with a History of Smoking</td>
<td>The USPSTF recommends annual screening for lung cancer with low-dose computed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tomography (LDCT) in adults aged 55 to 80 years who have a 30 pack-year</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>smoking history and currently smoke or have quit within the past 15 years.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Screening should be discontinued once a person has not smoked for 15 years or</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>develops a health problem that substantially limits life expectancy or the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ability or willingness to have curative lung surgery.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AIM STATEMENT

Improve the proportion of current and former smokers at UT Health Hill Country Primary Care with full smoking history documentation from 15% to 75% by January 1, 2018.
**Problem Statement**

15% of current and former smokers at Hill Country Primary Care have complete smoking history.

**Technology**
- Electronic health record does not prompt
- Too many areas to click
- No area to clearly track previous versus current smoking history
- MA/LVN unsure how to ask the patient
- Competing demands in clinic
- Patient refuses
- Patient unsure of own smoking history
- No time/different priorities

**Process, Policies, Procedures**
- Competing demands
- Unknown procedure for tracking
- Management unclear about expectations

**People**

**Equipment, Machines**
- Electronic health record does not prompt
Intake Process/Screening Variability

Pt arrives
- Taken to intake room
  - Weight
  - Blood Pressure/Pulse
  - Histories (including smoking)
  - Screening (PCMH)
  - Taken to exam room

Pt arrives
- Taken to intake room
  - Weight
  - Blood Pressure/Pulse
  - Histories (including smoking)
  - Screening (PCMH)
  - Taken to exam room

Pt arrives
- Taken to intake room
  - Weight
  - Blood Pressure/Pulse
  - Histories (including smoking)
  - Screening (PCMH)
  - Taken to exam room

Pt arrives
- Taken to intake room
  - Weight
  - Blood Pressure/Pulse
  - Histories (not including smoking)
  - Screening (PCMH)
  - Taken to exam room

“Do you smoke?”
“How many packs do you smoke?”
“How many cigarettes do you smoke?”
“How much do you smoke?”
“How much do you smoke per day?”
“On average, how much do you smoke?”
“I know you smoked a lot back then and now you don’t smoke so much. How much do you smoke now?”

“How long did you smoke?”
“When did you start and stop smoking?”

“How many pipes per day did you smoke?”
“I can let the doctor know that you only smoked “socially.”

“I can let the doctor know you’re interested in stopping?”
“We have someone here who might be able to help.”
Variation Occurs in Gathering and Documenting Tobacco Use History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance History</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tobacco Use?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Start Date:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quit Date:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Types of tobacco used:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Packs/day:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Years:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Counseling Given:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comments:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smokeless Tobacco:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Types:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quit Date:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Alcohol Use: | Yes, No |
| Comments:    |         |

| Illicit drug use: | Yes, No |
| Comments:         |         |
Baseline UT Hill Country Clinic Data

Current or Former Smokers: 331

- 14.8% (49/331) with full smoking history
- 22.3% (21/94) Current Smokers with full smoking history
- 11.8% (28/237) Previous Smokers with full smoking history

**Numerator:** Number of current and previous smokers with full* smoking history

**Denominator:** Number of current and previous smokers

*Full=years smoked, average packs per day, and quit date (if former smoker)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Primary Drivers</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Responsible</th>
<th>Intervention score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase lung cancer screening</td>
<td>Tobacco use history documentation</td>
<td>• Standardize work with Medical Assistant/Licensed Vocational Nurse to correctly and consistently inquire about and document smoking history.</td>
<td>1. Training done/not done. 2. Proportion with complete history.</td>
<td>Cancino</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Standardize work with providers to confirm history.</td>
<td>1. Training done/not done. 2. Proportion with complete history.</td>
<td>Cancino</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Identify local Staff and Clinical Champions.</td>
<td>1. Champions identified.</td>
<td>Cancino</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Report data to practice regularly.</td>
<td>1. Weekly reporting of data.</td>
<td>Cancino</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Outreach to patients.</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Standardize EPIC documentation intervention.</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Barker, Schott</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PDSA #1 (10/23/2017)

Week 1

Introduce project at
- Morning Huddle
- Staff/Faculty Meeting

Developed standard work for tobacco use history collecting and documentation
- Medical Assistant
- Physician/NP
- Medical Director/Practice Manager
New Standard Workflow

Pt arrives

- Taken to intake room
- Weight
- Blood Pressure/Pulse
- Histories (including **smoking**)
- Screening (PCMH)

- Taken to exam room
PDSA #2 (12/04/2017)

- Re-Train New Medical Assistant
- Script Training
- Update Training Checklist for all staff
Data entered completely

Total current or former tobacco users

Pre-Intervention Compliance

Post-Intervention Compliance
Compliance increased from 20.6 to 47.7

Percentage of UT Health Hill Country patients with complete data entered over time.
Other PCC clinics showed no change over same time period

Percentage of UT PCC patients with complete data entered over time.
Return On Investment

• Increasing screening for high-risk current and former smokers may lead to increased CT scans.

• There is a difference in reimbursement for LDCT done currently and those done in the context of a Lung Cancer Screening Program.
Proposed Market Size

• Over 405,000 between 55-79
• 16.2% smoking rate for Bexar County
  • Over 65,000 of that age group are likely smokers
  • 1% - 650 people
  • 5% - 3280 people
Potential Revenue Loss

- Non Contrast Chest CT (71250): $172.33
- LCS LDCT (G0297): $240.48

Per Case Loss ($68.15)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Reimbursement</th>
<th>Total Reimbursement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non Contrast Chest CT</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>$172.33</td>
<td>$9133.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDCT</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>$240.48</td>
<td>$12,745.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregated Loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3611.95)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Possible Impact from PCC Patients at 13%* Growth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eligible</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow Up Imaging</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False +</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*13% growth derived from 1) 170 new smokers aged 55-77 in PCC 2017 and 2) CDC states 19% of population eligible for LDCT
Potential Growth of New Smokers

- Patients advised by physicians to quit are 1.6 times more likely to quit.
- Medicare reimbursement for smoking cessation counseling longer than 10 minutes of counseling is $27.93. 3 to 10 minute counseling code reimburses $14.32.
- UTH PCC:
  - 2192 current smokers first visit \( \times \$27.93 \) \$61,222.56
  - 2192 follow up \( \times \$14.32 \) \$31,389.44

*13% growth derived from 1) 170 new smokers aged 55-77 in PCC 2017 and 2) CDC states 19% of population eligible for LDCT

Maintain Gains

• Continue to review and report data

• Meeting with PCC Medical Directors regarding project expansion

• Work with EPIC IT Committee on adding functionality to enhance workflow and reporting

• Develop education plan and materials for staff, faculty, and patients

• Identifying resources for smoking cessation planning (QUITXT)
Next Steps

• Initiated LDCT screening protocol in radiology
  • Educate technologist about Low Dose CT
    • To differentiate between Low Dose screening CT and non–contrast CT chest
    • To convert non–contrast CT chest to Low Dose screening CT whenever appropriate as the later is the recommended and has significant lower dose of radiation exposure for patient
  • Standardize lung nodule identification, classification, and reporting system
  • Ensure appropriate recommendations are followed.
  • Educate physicians about process to order LDCT and discuss plans for follow-up
Barriers to Next Steps

- Gathering tobacco use history
  - Monitor action plan
  - Better understand provider role in confirming during shared-decision making conversation
  - Expand to other PCC locations
- Screening itself does not promote cessation
- Education (faculty, staff, and patients)
- Utilization
- QALY
- Funding


• Team Hill Country

• Radiology Department

• Pulmonology Department

• Yvonne Davila, RN (Facilitator)
New Research

• Risk-Targeted Lung Cancer Screening: A Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

  • The incremental cost-effectiveness ratios (ICERs) were similar across risk deciles ($75,000 per QALY in the lowest risk decile to $53,000 per QALY in the highest risk decile). Payers willing to pay $100,000 per QALY would pay for LDCT screening for all decile groups.

  • Although risk targeting may improve screening efficiency in terms of early lung cancer mortality per person screened, the gains in efficiency are attenuated and modest in terms of life-years, QALYs, and cost-effectiveness.

New Research


- The number of screening-eligible ever-smokers by any eligibility criteria increased between 2005 and 2010 but decreased between 2010 and 2015.
  - The number of persons eligible for screening according to USPSTF criteria increased from 8.7 million (24.6% of U.S. ever-smokers aged 50 to 80 years) in 2005 to 9.5 million (22.8% of U.S. ever-smokers aged 50 to 80 years) in 2010 but decreased substantially to 8.0 million (18.4% of U.S. ever-smokers aged 50 to 80 years) in 2015.

- The decreases in screening eligibility between 2010 and 2015 were more modest for risk-based criteria.
  - U.S. smokers with a 5-year lung cancer risk of at least 2.0% decreased by only 0.8 million between 2010 and 2015 (from 9.4 to 8.6 million), one half the decrease based on USPSTF criteria (P = 0.048).
  - The decreases between 2010 and 2015 in the number of persons eligible for screening based on having a 5-year lung cancer risk of at least 1.5% and 2.5% were only 0.7 and 0.8 million, respectively.

- Lung cancer remains the most deadly type of cancer in the United States, and low-dose CT screening offers a potentially effective means to improve on that fact. Although risk-based identification of persons who should be offered screening is empirically superior to using the current cutoffs, the more pressing concern is why people, regardless of how their eligibility is defined, are not receiving the test.
Other tools for screening

- Lung Cancer Risk Assessment Tool
- Lung Cancer Death Risk Assessment Tool

- Covariates included age; education; sex; race; smoking intensity, duration, and quit-years; body mass index; family history of lung cancer; and self-reported emphysema.

Quality of Life
Return On Investment for Existing Patients

- Patients advised by physicians to quit are 1.6 times more likely to quit
- Three to 10 minute counseling code reimburses $14.32
- Medicare reimbursement for smoking cessation counseling code longer than 10 minutes of counseling is $27.93
- UTH Hill Country PCC:
  - 134 current smokers first visit: \( \times $27.93 \) $3,742.62
  - 134 follow up: \( \times $14.32 \) $1,918.88

Invivo

- Cost $148,500 (CAD + LCS)
- Cost $93,100
- For a 5 year Break Even:
  - 729 scans per year
  - 61 scans per month
  - A navigator would require an additional 44 scans each month
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Primary Drivers</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Responsible</th>
<th>Intervention score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase lung cancer screening</td>
<td>Smoking history documentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cancino</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of high risk patient</td>
<td>EPIC Best Practice Alert</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Barker</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared decision making activity</td>
<td>Standardized text/script</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Simpson</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDCT Order</td>
<td>MD Education, EPIC decision support</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDCT Attendance</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct Study Done</td>
<td>Audit Radiation Dose given</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Baxi</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Possible Impact from PCC Patients at 13%* Growth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eligible</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow Up Imaging</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>(x*.242)*.811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>(x*.242)*.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(x*.242)*.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>X*.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False +</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>(x*.242)*.964</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*13% growth derived from 1) 170 new smokers aged 55-77 in PCC 2017 and 2) CDC states 19% of population eligible for LDCT
## Predicted Volume

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eligible</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>247 + x</td>
<td>247 + x + y</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow Up Imaging</td>
<td></td>
<td>48.476714</td>
<td></td>
<td>54.757098</td>
<td>61.03748</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.830394</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.844858</td>
<td>9.859322</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>2.271412</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.565684</td>
<td>2.859956</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True Positive</td>
<td>59.774</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67.518</td>
<td>75.262</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False Positives</td>
<td>57.622136</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>65.087352</td>
<td>72.55257</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>